DRAFT JHT/hf
Approved For Release 2006/09/25 : CIA-RDP75B00380R000100050003-7

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

THROUGH:

Director of Personnel

SUBJECT:

OMB 1975 Personnel Ceiling

1. Last week, Mr. Bridgewater of OMB informed us of their

	recommendation that the Agency's 1975 staff personnel ceiling be
25X1A 25X1A	reduced to Bridgewater also noted that the dollar reductions
	which OMB has imposed for 1975 include associated with a
25X1A 25X1A	personnel reduction— in staff personnel and in con-
25X1A	tract personnel. Subsequent to the meeting with Bridgewater, our
25X1A	OMB examiner, Jim Oliver, explained that OMB's letter to us on the
25X1A	1975 budget will contain not only a staff personnel ceiling of
25X1A	but a contract personnel ceiling of The proposed contract
	ceiling represents a reduction of positions, and is of itself no
25X1A	problem this year. Because there are currently about unoccupied
0	contract positions, the cut represents only positions, not people. It is
	the imposition of the ceiling itself and the future implications of this
	action with which we are concerned. OMB can legitimately impose
	such a ceiling if it wishes. As we have no compelling defense against

it, we would like to propose now that you consider asking OMB to give us not two 1975 ceilings but one in which we would combine staff and contract position levels.

Background

- 2. For many years, the Agency has been successful in focusing OMB's attention on what we call staff employment, although there has been no effort to hide contract or other forms of employment. It has not been any secret to OMB that we have had, in addition to staff personnel, consultants, contract, temporary and part-time, and indigenous employees.
- 3. The story with respect to the Congress is somewhat different. As best we can determine, we have made no systematic attempt to present data on forms of employment other than staff. A review of the small budget summary books made available to the Senators and Congressmen at those times reveals no charts or other materials detailing non-staff personnel. In answering questions, however, we have in the past given our Committees a considerable amount of information on overall personnel levels.
- 4. A normal Federal agency would receive two ceilings from OMB: one covering <u>full-time permanent</u> employment positions, without regard to whether the employees filling such positions were in a contract or regular Civil Service status; and one covering total

Approved For Release 2006/09/23 CA-RDP75B00380R000100050003-7

employment, including every employee.* In the case of the State

Department, Foreign Service local employees are covered under

this latter category. In contrast, CIA has had a staff ceiling, an

internal contract ceiling, and has been free of any overall restriction

on numbers. The original reasons for this different approach included

the desire to maintain the flexibility to hire employees quickly to

meet contingency situations without outside review. The imposition

by OMB of a contract employee ceiling will eliminate a good bit of

that flexibility, and it is likely that our freedom from overall limits

will be short-lived.

It is our suggestion that you consider countering OMB's

Our Proposal

25X1A

25X1A 25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1

^{*} The difference between these ceilings, known as the "derived ceiling," may be used for intermittent, part-time, temporary, indigenous or other kinds of employees who are other than full-time permanent personnel.

Approved For Release 2006/99/25 CIA-RDP75B00380R000100050003-7

25X1A position contract ceiling reduction Even with a in 1975, there will remain some unoccupied contract positions. Thus, contract positions could be used, if 25X1A desired, to allow us to keep more than staff personnel positions beyond 30 June 1975. There is, however, a sub-25X1A stantial risk that OMB will "find" the empty positions now. They would then be likely to reduce new full-time permanent 25X1A staff level from Thus, we would argue that it would be tactically unwise not to identify some portion of 25X1A slot "windfall" to OMB now. 25X1A b. A significant number (estimated at) of present contract ceiling positions are occupied by part-time employees. As we continue in the next two or three years to reduce overall personnel levels, we might be able to move some of these employees out from under the new ceiling on full-time

the contract ceiling was imposed.

c. We could deal in a straightforward manner with the desires of Messrs. Brownman and Duckett to convert some

employees to the "derived ceiling" referred to in para. 4

above. That would restore some of the flexibility lost when

Approved For Release 2006/09/25 : CIA-RDP75B00380R000100050003-7

25X1A

25X1A

existing contract personnel to staff status. To the extent that we try now to convert employees from contract to staff status, we effectively lower our _____ ceiling. If we had one overall ceiling, there would be no particular penalty or advantage to conversion from contract to staff and we could approach individual cases on their merits.

- d. It makes intrinsic sense to us to be subject to one large ceiling rather than to two somewhat smaller ones; since this also conforms to normal Government practice we see little reason not to seek this status.
- 6. This approach is not without disadvantages however. Most important, you will have to explain to the Congress that we have not received an increase in ceiling from _______ but rather have changed our method of counting personnel positions. This could cause some embarrassment.
- 7. In addition, when we suggest to OMB a single ceiling on full-time permanent employees, it is likely that they will apply to us the overall ceiling which they apply to other Government agencies.

 At the OMB recommended levels, our overall ceiling for 1975 would

Approved For Release 2006/09/25 : CIA-RDP75B00380R000100050003-7

25X1A	
	be positions. The difference between this number and our
25X1A	
25X1A	permanent ceiling of would consist of our consultant positions,
25X1A	
25X1A	temporary and part-time ("summer only") positions, and
	indigenous positions. Once that total number has become a ceiling,
	changes would have to be justified in the future with OMB and Congress.
	thanger house have to be justified in the fatal of with one and congress.
	In the short term, we see no real problem here, primarily because the
	indigenous ceiling may, as a result of Southeast Asia cutbacks, be
	dropping faster than anyone's desire to reduce our employment. Over
	the long term, however, there will be some loss of flexibility,
	offset only by the opportunity to shift part-time personnel from the
	permanent ceiling into the "derived ceiling," as noted above.

8. To us, the most significant problem is the political one in dealing with Congress. However, we think that can be overcome, particularly if presented by you in the context of a sweeping new look at Agency personnel practices as developed by your predecessors. Also, given the fact that we would convert all personnel charts to the new basis, and given that this should reflect the same general trend in employment levels as presented in the past, we see no major difficulty.

ULUMLI

Approved For Release 2006/09/25: CIA-RDP75B00380R000100050003-7

9. We, therefore, recommend that you approve our proposal to approach OMB along these lines. There is, of course, no guarantee that OMB will be willing to accept this idea, although they are willing to discuss it. In view of the fact that it effectively constitutes an offer by us to make our personnel practices consistent with those of other Government agencies, however, we don't see why they should refuse.

25X1A

Acting Comptroller

Approved For Release 2006/09/25 - CIA-RDP75B00380R000100050003-7

CONCUR:				
Director of Personnel		Date		
APPROVED:				
	E	,		
Director of Central Intelligence		Date	0	
	•	0 0		

25X1

O/Comp /hf 21 Dec 73

Distribution:

Orig - addressee (return O/Compt)

- 1 DCI
- 1 DDCI
- 1 ES/CIA
- 1 D/Pers
- 1 O/Compt subj (BMS)
- 1 O/Compt reading
- 1 C/M&SG
- 1 JHT chrono
- 1 Compt hold